













**1. Which of the following best describes your participation in the conference?**

		Response Percent	Response Count
Keynote or Panelist Speaker		17.9%	7
Student		23.1%	9
Faculty		7.7%	3
Attorney		10.3%	4
Faith Community		17.9%	7
Other		30.8%	12
answered question			39
skipped question			5

**2. General Content and Venue VS = Very satisfied, S = Satisfied, N = Neutral, D = Dissatisfied, VD = Very dissatisfied**

	<b>VS</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>VD</b>	<b>Response Count</b>
The conference met the goal of addressing the realities of immigrant detention in the South	<b>75.0% (33)</b>	25.0% (11)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	44
The conference met the goal of exploring religious responses to detention.	<b>51.2% (22)</b>	37.2% (16)	11.6% (5)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	43
The conference met the goal of addressing different advocacy strategies to deal with immigrant detention	<b>59.1% (26)</b>	34.1% (15)	4.5% (2)	2.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	44
Time distributed and allocated to appropriate topics	<b>61.4% (27)</b>	34.1% (15)	4.5% (2)	2.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	44
Length of sessions	<b>58.1% (25)</b>	41.9% (18)	0.0% (0)	2.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	43
Sufficient coverage of topics	<b>59.1% (26)</b>	36.4% (16)	2.3% (1)	4.5% (2)	0.0% (0)	44
Format of presentations	<b>56.8% (25)</b>	43.2% (19)	0.0% (0)	2.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	44
Proper balance of academic and non-academic perspectives	<b>65.9% (29)</b>	25.0% (11)	6.8% (3)	6.8% (3)	0.0% (0)	44
Opportunities for networking/informal interactions with attendees	<b>50.0% (22)</b>	31.8% (14)	15.9% (7)	4.5% (2)	0.0% (0)	44
Quality of conference materials	<b>45.5% (20)</b>	38.6% (17)	18.2% (8)	2.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	44
Quality of meeting facilities	<b>60.5% (26)</b>	32.6% (14)	0.0% (0)	4.7% (2)	2.3% (1)	43
Quality of audiovisual and sound system equipment	<b>44.2% (19)</b>	37.2% (16)	9.3% (4)	9.3% (4)	2.3% (1)	43
<b>answered question</b>						<b>44</b>
<b>skipped question</b>						<b>0</b>

### 3. How did you initially hear about the conference? (please select one)

		Response Percent	Response Count
Website		11.4%	5
Poster		6.8%	3
E-mail		27.3%	12
Newsletter		2.3%	1
Colleague		43.2%	19
Other		9.1%	4
answered question			44
skipped question			0

### 4. Conference Management & Logistics VS = Very satisfied, S = Satisfied, N = Neutral, D = Dissatisfied, VD = Very Dissatisfied, NA = Not applicable

	VS	S	N	D	VD	NA	Response Count
Pre-conference registration process	<b>78.6%</b> <b>(33)</b>	16.7% (7)	2.4% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.4% (1)	42
On-site staffing	<b>75.6%</b> <b>(31)</b>	17.1% (7)	7.3% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	41
Panelist Dinner (Thursday night)	16.7% (7)	4.8% (2)	2.4% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	<b>76.2%</b> <b>(32)</b>	42
Lunch (Friday)	<b>33.3%</b> <b>(14)</b>	23.8% (10)	9.5% (4)	9.5% (4)	0.0% (0)	26.2% (11)	42
answered question							43
skipped question							1

**5. Additional Comments** Please provide any additional comments, including what sorts of activities you would like to see going forward.

		Response Count
		22
answered question		22
skipped question		22



**Q5. Additional Comments**

Please provide any additional comments, including what sorts of activities you would like to see going forward.

1	More focus on practical on the ground work and less emphasis on theoretical perspectives. Hopefully the center will provide support and networking for activists, organizers, and members of the faith community who are actually working with immigrants on issues of detention, integration, or other day to day concerns.	Nov 1, 2011 3:37 PM
2	More about what can realistically be done at the parish level.	Oct 31, 2011 11:04 AM
3	I attend many conferences and I thought this was one of the best.	Oct 26, 2011 9:30 AM
4	The conference was great. The room was uncomfortable (seating) and not conducive to audience participation.	Oct 26, 2011 12:50 AM
5	The academics were almost uniformly, highly doctrinaire and located detention within a straight line of abuses against African Americans, Native Americans, Mexicans, Central Americans, and non-White immigrants, etc. by the US. This is odd since a full 25 percent of the country is now foreign-born or the children of the foreign-born, the great majority people of color who are advancing quite successfully in society and pleased to be in the US. The White supremacy and privilege theme, accompanied by the patronizing theme of the stupidity of the middle class, became tiresome, doesn't fit my own experience, and certainly won't help to advance the immigration debate or improve the situation of detainees. We need intellectuals to help us conceptualize these issues in a different way, but the academics failed to do this and were grinding their own axes. The theologian -- in particular -- didn't provide a single theological insight except that immigrants are the children of God. I thought that the rest of the conference was terrific.	Oct 25, 2011 7:35 PM
6	The guest speaker spoke of the seriousness of this issue.	Oct 25, 2011 4:12 PM
7	I would love to see this become a yearly event and perhaps expand to a national event.	Oct 25, 2011 12:11 PM
8	Excellent conference bringing together academics, lawyers, advocates, activists and faith community members - and one judge with a different view point. I met some great people with whom I hope to remain in contact. The story of Alterna in Georgia was very moving and inspiring. Thanks!	Oct 25, 2011 12:11 AM
9	I would love to see a health care aspect explored and discussed. many mentioned it few discussed it... I would be willing to help from Loyola Nursing!	Oct 24, 2011 8:32 PM
10	The conference provides a launching pad for continued collaboration across academic and non-academic fields to address immigrant detention in the U.S. in a way that has not happened previously. I think there needs to be a continued conversation of all participants to discern in this moment the best ways to move forward within the limits and possibilities of this time and space locally and nationally. While the conference was superb, we only touched the proverbial "tip of the iceberg" on this topic and could continue conversations in all of the areas explored by the various panels.	Oct 24, 2011 4:08 PM
11	The conference was very informative. The time of the conference during the two days was not made clear on the website when I initially signed up. It would have	Oct 24, 2011 3:50 PM

**Q5. Additional Comments**

Please provide any additional comments, including what sorts of activities you would like to see going forward.

	been better to know the specifics to plan accordingly. It was an amazing experience overall! Thank you!	
12	Really nicely organized conference - great to have different perspectives there.	Oct 24, 2011 11:32 AM
13	Fantastic!	Oct 24, 2011 11:29 AM
14	I loved this conference and found it very powerful. My one question is how do we access the footage from panel discussions? I thought it was going to be put online after the conference and just wanted to know where, thank you!	Oct 24, 2011 11:22 AM
15	I would like to attend more of this type of meetings . All the speakers were excellent and very informative.	Oct 24, 2011 10:53 AM
16	I was very satisfied with the information received and with the people who were on the panels. I would like to see some form of e-mail updates on efforts toward both legislative and social action initiatives. Thank you. Alice Murray	Oct 24, 2011 10:07 AM
17	Fabulous conference! My one suggestion for improvement would be to have a book table to purchase the books written by the speakers.	Oct 24, 2011 9:41 AM
18	The only problem I had with the conference was that it made me feel impotent rather than empowered. I felt like the problem was too overwhelming to fix. I like to go to conferences that make me feel ready to out and make a difference and this one didn't do that. However, I guess I needed to hear the hard reality.	Oct 24, 2011 9:07 AM
19	Thank you- It was a wonderful conference. I especially loved Prof. del Torre's talk on Friday morning.	Oct 24, 2011 8:27 AM
20	Given large breaks that were available, I think it might have been helpful to provide a space for some structured breakouts -- perhaps to specifically connect people interested in starting some sort of response. This was an absolutely wonderful conference. I'm an immigration attorney and was so enriched to hear all the different perspectives. Best immigration related conference I've ever been to! Many thanks to Loyola for hosting, but the Auditorium seating was cramped and it was difficult to take notes. My only complaint....	Oct 24, 2011 8:17 AM
21	I would prefer the next conference to be a bit longer, and to perhaps have some workshops be offered in an effort to facilitate awareness, activism, etc. Also, it would be beneficial to provide scholarships for student attendees (we are a large part of the advocacy effort as well). In any case, I want to mention that I did enjoy the conference very, very much! It was well put together and I greatly appreciated the diversity of the panelists. Undeniably worth the trip!	Oct 24, 2011 8:07 AM
22	It is necessary that we create and implement a unifying strategy to substantially address the systemic, structural, globalized issues related to detention and deportation. Formulating an "academic diagnosis" and talking about the situation "in third person" - as is we were not accomplices of the system - is not helpful. Part of the problem here is that "the experts" and "practitioners" who know about all the issues are benefitting from the very same structure they criticize while hoping the something might happen to change the status quo. Our organizations are, at the end of the day, very conservative and timid in their	Oct 24, 2011 7:59 AM

**Q5. Additional Comments**

**Please provide any additional comments, including what sorts of activities you would like to see going forward.**

praxis. Thanks